



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,971	06/22/2006	Hiroshi Machida	SH-0064PCTUS	8398
21254 7590 07/09/2010 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				
EXAMINER				
HOFFMANN, JOHN M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1791				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/09/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10583971	6/22/06	MACHIDA, HIROSHI	SH-0064PCTUS

MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD
SUITE 200
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817

EXAMINER

John Hoffmann

ART UNIT	PAPER
1791	20100708

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 6/7/2010 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): there is no election between B1 and B2 and between C1 and C2. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

As indicated on page 9 of the 5/6/2010 requirement, there are actually three specie choices that need to be made.

To look at it another way: applicant has invented (at least) 8 mutually exclusive species: A1B1C1, A2B1C1, A1B2C1, A2B2C1, A1B1C2, A2B1C2, A1B2C2, A2B2C2. Rather than write the restriction requirement explaining each of those permutations, Examiner used a shortcut by dividing the method into its individual components. Examiner apologizes for any confusion.

Examiner called applicant for an oral election on 8 July 2010. No election was made.

/John Hoffmann/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791